**ASISGMENT INSTRUCTIONS**

The purpose of this assignment is to familiarise you with the peer-review process. You will critically assess a past MSc research project. (PDF FILE: **RESEARCH PROPOSAL TO CRITIQUE**)

The assessment for is comprised of THREE sections, **all of which must be completed:**

- 1) Marks awarded:

- 2) Breakdown

- 3) Feedback

\* Presentation and coherence

\* Demonstration of purpose

\* Literature review and scholarship

\* Research plan

One of the most important part of the critique is the Literature review and research plan. You should focus more on both. To make this assignment you should read the PDF file: **“How to conduct literature review critiques “and follow the indications. THIS IS VERY important**

Your critique will be written in the “Feedback” section. Each aspect of the past proposal must be addressed individually. A guideline number of bullet points is included on the form for reference. Bear in mind that by “bullet point” we mean “1 to 3 sentences”, and that the suggested number of bullet points are approximate. At the bottom of this word you have an example of other project feedback just to get an idea

(USE A NEW CLEAN WORD FILE TO SUBMIT THIS ASSESTMENT FORM COMPLETE USING EXACTLY THE SAME FORMAT).

**ASSESTMENT FORM:**

**You have to complete all the sections!**

## Marks awarded

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Presentation and coherence | (Max 20) | **put the marks here** |
| 2 | Demonstration of purpose | (Max 20) | **Put the marks here** |
| 3 | Literature review and scholarship | (Max 30) | **Put the marks here** |
| 4 | Research plan | (Max 30) | **put the marks here** |
|  | TOTAL POINTS OF THE RESEARCH PROPOSAL: | (MAX 100) | **Sum all the points and put it here** |

## Breakdown (note: no check mark = 0)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Topic** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |
| **1** | Coherent, effective presentation and English? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logical argumentation, overall coherence? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2** | Clear statement of the project purpose? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literature-supported statement of project worth? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3** | Balanced breadth and depth of literature? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literature justifies and motivates the project? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discussion of related / competing approaches? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** | Effective discussion of methodology? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective discussion of implementation details? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective discussion of project logistics? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Feedback

In total, No more than 2 pages but at least 1 page

Bear in mind that by “bullet point” we mean “1 to 3 sentences”,

### Presentation and coherence

<write your feedback here, approximately 5 bullet points>

* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..

### Demonstration of purpose

<write your feedback here, approximately 5 bullet points>

* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..

### Literature review and scholarship

<write your feedback here, approximately 10 bullet points>

* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..

### Research plan

<write your feedback here, approximately 10 bullet points>

* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..
* ..

**EXAMPLE OF FEEDBACK.**

It is just to give you and idea,we can not understand all the context because we don’t have the project who was being criticized. Furthermore It uses a differents sections that the ones that you must use ,but anyways you may get a small idea. 😊

**Don’t copy or paraphrase this example under any circumstances or my university will detect plagiarism. This is just to get an idea. Plagiarsim free please use your whole new clean words.**

**Example:**

*1) Presentation and coherence*

Generally clean presentation making use of the Word template.

-Very good level of scientific English throughout.

-Some composition issues with the figures in particular. This is not normally a major issue, but since the purpose of the project is to produce effective infographics, additional care could have been taken to make sure the figures were readable at A4 size

.-Some italicisation issues with the equations. Remember that functions and units should not be italicised. I also suggest that you enumerate all equations for cross-referencing purposes.

*2) Summary*

-Logical breakdown of the summary section by infographictype, with good literature support of the logistics of each infographic. A leading justification paragraph for the section as a whole would have helped with the overall justification, but that requirement could also have been addressed by a literature-supported context statement in the aims section.

-Some axesareunreadable due to the size of the figure which is a problem for an infographics project. On the other hand it is excellent to see concept sketches used directly.

-No code is listed either in the summary or the appendix. One would expect to see the full listings in the appendix at a minimum. Try to ensure these are included for future reports.

-It is not clear what criteria you used to decide whether an infographic was "most effective". It would be very interested to see this expanded on in future reports.

-Running discussion throughout the summary section is of a good standard

*3) Abstract, aims,and objectives*

-The abstract provides some comments on the motivation of the project and the very top-level description of what you have done, but it omits the principal progress points of the project. Remember that the purpose of the abstract is to let the reader know whether the paper is worth reading. If they don’t know what the principal outputs of the paper are, they may well just ignore it.

-Aims are clearly stated, but there are not enumerated. While the way you have presented the aims and objectives works, it is more usual to present them in a hierarchical structure in which each aim is strictly decomposed into objectives

.-The objectives themselves are a little general, although this is understandable given the topic of the project.

-A timeline is present, but references to dates omit the year. A minor pick, but remember that your report (or parts of it) may wellbe read in the future without the context of the academic year. You would have likely saved space with the suggested refactoring of the structure of this section and been able to include an explicit timeline section.

*4) Scholarship*

-A good range of literaturehas been included given the scope and depth of the project, but you have missed an opportunity to explicitly justify the purpose of the project with literaturesupport.

-Literature use is good throughout the summarysection and has been applied in an appropriate manner

.-Reference manager use has kept the citation protocol and formatting consistent